Benchmarks

13. O'Reilly, D.R., L.K. Miller, and V.A. Luckow. 1992. *Baculovirus Expression Vectors: A Laboratory Manual*. Freeman, New York.
14. Paciotti, G.F. and L. Tamarkin. 1988. Interleukin-2 differentially affects the proliferation of a hormone-dependent and a hormone-independent human breast cancer cell line *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Anticancer Res.* 8:1233-1239.
15. Pham, M.Q., S. Naggie, M. Wier, H.J. Cha, and W.E. Bentley. 1999. Human interleukin-2 production in insect (*Trichoplusia ni*) larvae: the effects and partial control of proteolysis. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 60:165-172.
16. Rosenberg, S.A., E.A. Grimm, M. McGrogan, M. Doyle, E. Kawasaki, K. Koghs, and D.F. Mark. 1984. Lymphokine activated T cells in the treatment of cancer. *Science* 223:1412-1415.
17. Smith, G.E., M.J. Fraser, and M.D. Summers. 1983. Molecular engineering of the *Autographa californica* nuclear polyhedrosis virus genome: deletion mutations within the polyhedrin gene. *J. Virol.* 46:584-593.
18. Summers, M.D. and G.E. Smith. 1987. A manual of methods for baculovirus vectors and insect cell culture procedure. Texas Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin No. 1555.
19. van der Wilk, F., J.W.M. van Lent, and J.M. Vlak. 1987. Immunogold detection of polyhedrin p10 and viron antigens in *Autographa californica* nuclear polyhedrosis virus-infected *Spodoptera frugiperda* cells. *J. Gen. Virol.* 68:2615-2624.

Address correspondence to Dr. William E. Bentley, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA. e-mail: bentley@eng.umd.edu

Received 15 October 2001; accepted 19 February 2002.

**H.J. Cha, N.G. Dalal,
M.-Q. Pham, S.F. Kramer,
V.N. Vakharia, and
W.E. Bentley**
*University of Maryland
College Park, MD, USA*

For reprints of this or
any other article, contact
Reprints@BioTechniques.com

Increased Efficiency of Cloning Large DNA Fragments Using a Lower Copy Number Plasmid

BioTechniques 32:992-998 (May 2002)

The advent of recombinant DNA technology has revolutionized biological and genetic research (9,10). These technologies have allowed us to perform previously unthinkable tasks, such as the sequencing of the human genome and the deletion of a gene of interest in animal models (6,14,21). Most of the commonly used cloning vectors are derived from bacterial plasmids, which are self-replicating extrachromosomal DNA molecules found in virtually all bacterial species (1,9). Most plasmids are double-stranded, circular DNA molecules, and their replication is coordinated with that of the bacterial host (16,19). Plasmid vectors used for molecular cloning are primarily derived from *E. coli* plasmids. These vectors possess three common features:

a replicator, at least one antibiotic selectable marker, and a multiple cloning site (MCS). The replicator is a stretch of DNA sequence that contains the origin of replication (*ori*) and encodes the RNAs and proteins required for plasmid replication in host cells. Although the mechanisms underlying plasmid copy number control are still the subject of extensive investigations, it is believed that the replicator may play a pivotal role in determining the copy number of a given plasmid in different host cells (11,20). Most cloning vectors contain a replicator derived from the prototypic ColE1 origin. Although both pBR322 and pUC19 plasmid vectors contain similar replicators from the ColE1-related pMB1 (4,13), subtle changes in the replicators render them significantly different in their ability to maintain their copy number in host cells. As a result, in most host cells, the pBR322 vector is maintained at 100–300 copies per cell, whereas the pSL301 plasmid with the pUC19 replicator contains 1000–3000 copies per cell (3,5,22,25,26).

Although recombinant DNA technology has been established for more than two decades, subcloning large DNA fragments remains a rather challenging maneuver (15,18). Our previous experience with the construction of the AdEasy™ (www.coloncancer.org/adeasy.htm) and other large vectors suggested that the cloning efficiency of large DNA molecules might be affected by the copy number of a given vector. Higher cloning efficiency was seemingly associated with the use of a lower copy number vector (12). This phenomenon was particularly true when larger inserts (e.g., >3 kb) or larger destination constructs (e.g., >10 kb) were involved. In this report, we sought to test the above observations using a more definitive approach.

To ensure that a lower copy number vector was structurally comparable to a high copy number vector (i.e., pSL301; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), we engineered the pMOLUC vector that contains a pBR322-derived replicator. Specifically, a 507-bp fragment containing the MCS was PCR-amplified from a pSL301 vector using the M13 forward and M13 reverse primers, 5'-GTAAAACGACGGCCAGT-3' and 5'-GGAA

Benchmarks

ACAGCTATGACCATG-3'. The amplified fragment was blunt-ended and subcloned into the pBR322 vector at the blunted *EcoRI* and *BspEI* sites. The DNA sequence at the cloning junctions and the insert orientation were verified by DNA sequencing and restriction digestions. Figure 1A illustrates that the pMOLUC vector has a backbone similar to that of pSL301, except that the replicator of pMOLUC was derived from a pBR322 plasmid (2). The full-length sequence of pMOLUC is available at <http://mywebpage.netscape.com/ucmolab/home.html>.

com/ucmolab/home.html.

To generate a pool of DNA fragments of various sizes, we used a recombinant adenoviral plasmid (approximately 34 kb). When this plasmid was digested with *NcoI*, more than 20 fragments were produced with a broad range of size distribution: one fragment was less than 100 bp; five fragments were 100 bp to 1 kb; 10 fragments were 1–2 kb; one fragment was 2–3 kb; and three fragments were greater than 4 kb. However, please note that potential partial digestions and/or possible con-

Figure 1. The pMOLUC vector map and the comparison of ligation efficiency between pMOLUC and pSL301. (A) Schematic representation of the pMOLUC vector. See text for construction detail. Full-length sequence of this vector is listed at <http://mywebpage.netscape.com/ucmolab/home.html>. MCS, multiple cloning site; M13F, M13 forward primer sequence; M13R, M13 reverse primer sequence; and T3 and T7, T3 and T7 promoter/primer sequences, respectively. (B) Recombinant colonies resulted from subcloning in pSL301 and pMOLUC vectors. The ligation products were transformed into DH10B *E. coli* cells by electroporation. Approximately one-fifth of the transformation mixture was plated on LB/ampicillin plates, and recombinant DNA clones were selected and grown overnight.

Benchmarks

Figure 2. Restriction analysis of recombinant DNA clones derived from pSL301 and pMOLUC vectors. Twenty colonies for each ligation (Figure 1B) were randomly picked up and grown for plasmid DNA purification. Approximately one-tenth (i.e., 5 μ L) of each miniprep DNA was either directly loaded onto and resolved on 0.8% agarose gels (A and B) or subjected to *Nco*I digestions, followed by resolution on 1.0% agarose gels (C and D). The 1 Kb Plus Ladder™ (Invitrogen) was used as a size marker (lane 1). Positions of the vector controls (lane 2) are indicated by arrows.

catamerization of digested fragments would likely shift the size distribution to larger fragments. The plasmid (5 μ g) was digested with *Nco*I, followed by the subcloning of the digested DNA pool into the *Nco*I site of the pMOLUC and pSL301 vectors with T4 DNA ligase (Invitrogen) at 16°C for 4 h. To reduce the ligation background, the *Nco*I-digested vectors were treated with calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA, USA). Ligation products were transformed into DH10B *E. coli* cells, and recombinants were selected on LB/ampicillin plates at 37°C. When the same amounts of pMOLUC and pSL301 vectors were used for ligation and transformation, the pSL301-based ligation yielded more colonies, approximately two times those of pMOLUC (Figure 1B).

Recombinant colonies were random-

ly picked up and grown in 2 mL LB/ampicillin (50 μ g/mL) in a 37°C environmental shaker overnight. The plasmids were purified using the alkaline lysis procedure. After being resolved on 0.8% agarose gels, the majority of clones derived from pMOLUC were significantly larger than those from pSL301 (Figure 2, compare A and B). These results were further confirmed by restriction digestion. When digested with *Nco*I, most of the clones derived from pSL301 produced an insert smaller than 2 kb (Figure 2C). Conversely, most of the clones derived from pMOLUC yielded significantly larger inserts (Figure 2D). Specifically, all of the clones derived from pMOLUC contained an insert greater than 2 kb, and 50% (10 of 20) of them contained an insert larger than 3 kb (Figure 2D, lanes 4, 5, 9–11, 13, 16–18, and 22). One clone

even contained an insert that was greater than 7 kb (Figure 2D, lane 18). It should be pointed out that one of the clones (Figure 2, B and D, lane 17) might be a mixture of two separate clones, although it seemed that both clones contained large inserts. Thus, our results have demonstrated that pMOLUC indeed exhibits a higher cloning efficiency for larger DNA fragments than pSL301, which suggests that the cloning efficiency of large DNA molecules could be significantly improved by using a lower copy number vector. It should be pointed out that cloning large DNA fragments into a high copy number plasmid vector is still possible; however, it is more difficult (23).

In addition to containing the pBR322-derived replicator, pMOLUC represents a versatile cloning vector with a superlinker containing more

Benchmarks

than 56 unique cloning sites, which is flanked by M13 forward and M13 reverse primer sequences. This vector also contains the T3 and T7 promoters flanking the linker site, which are suitable for in vitro transcription from either strand. Several studies have reported the construction and utility of lower copy number vectors in molecular cloning (7,24).

One possible drawback of using a lower copy number vector is that the DNA yield is lower. Because the replication of pMB1-derived plasmids does not require plasmid-coded factors and relies entirely on long-lived host enzymes, a practical means to increase plasmid yield is to add antibiotics such as chloramphenicol or spectinomycin at the early stage of amplification. These drugs can inhibit host protein synthesis and prevent replication of bacterial chromosome, while the plasmids continue to replicate until several thousand copies accumulate in the host cell (8). Nevertheless, the quantity of DNA obtained from a routine amplification should be sufficient for most molecular and cell biology experiments. Interestingly, several low copy number replicator-based vectors (i.e., <20 copies per cell) have been used for constructing YAC or BAC genomic DNA libraries (17). Although subcloning a large fragment (e.g., >100 kb) into these vectors is efficient, the extremely low DNA yield prevents their widespread use in molecular cloning experiments. Therefore, the pBR322-based vectors (e.g., pMOLUC) are probably the preferred choice for most conventional cloning experiments because they provide a high cloning efficiency for large DNA fragments (up to 30 kb) yet produce a reasonable quantity of DNA.

REFERENCES

1. Actis, L.A., M.E. Tolmasky, and J.H. Croa. 1999. Bacterial plasmids: replication of extra-chromosomal genetic elements encoding resistance to antimicrobial compounds. *Front. Biosci.* 4:D43-62.
2. Balbas, P., X. Soberon, F. Bolivar, and R.L. Rodriguez. 1988. The plasmid, pBR322. *Biotechnology* 10:5-41.
3. Bolivar, F. 1978. Construction and characterization of new cloning vehicles, III. Derivatives of plasmid pBR322 carrying unique *EcoRI* sites for selection of *EcoRI* generated recombinant DNA molecules. *Gene* 4:121-136.
4. Bolivar, F. 1979. Molecular cloning vectors derived from the ColE1 type plasmid pMB1. *Life Sci.* 25:807-817.
5. Bolivar, F., R.L. Rodriguez, P.J. Greene, M.C. Betlach, H.L. Heyneker, and H.W. Boyer. 1977. Construction and characterization of new cloning vehicles, II. A multipurpose cloning system. *Gene* 2:95-113.
6. Botstein, D. 1999. Of genes and genomes. *Ann. NY Acad. Sci.* 882:32-65.
7. Churchward, G., D. Belin, and Y. Nagamine. 1984. A pSC101-derived plasmid which shows no sequence homology to other commonly used cloning vectors. *Gene* 31:165-171.
8. Clewell, D.B. 1972. Nature of Col E1 plasmid replication in *Escherichia coli* in the presence of the chloramphenicol. *J. Bacteriol.* 110:667-676.
9. Cohen, S.N. 1993. Bacterial plasmids: their extraordinary contribution to molecular genetics. *Gene* 135:67-76.
10. Cohen, S.N., A.C. Chang, H.W. Boyer, and R.B. Helling. 1973. Construction of biologically functional bacterial plasmids in vitro. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 70:3240-3244.
11. del Solar, G. and M. Espinosa. 2000. Plasmid copy number control: an ever-growing story. *Mol. Microbiol.* 37:492-500.
12. He, T.-C., S. Zhou, L.T. da Costa, J. Yu, K.W. Kinzler, and B. Vogelstein. 1998. A simplified system for generating recombinant adenoviruses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95:2509-2514.
13. Hersheyfield, V., H.W. Boyer, C. Yanofsky, M.A. Lovett, and D.R. Helinski. 1974. Plasmid ColE1 as a molecular vehicle for cloning and amplification of DNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 71:3455-3459.
14. Holtzman, N.A. and T. M. Marteau. 2000. Will genetics revolutionize medicine? *N. Engl. J. Med.* 343:141-144.
15. Kaufman, R.J. 2000. Overview of vector design for mammalian gene expression. *Mol. Biotechnol.* 16:151-160.
16. Khan, S.A. 1997. Rolling-circle replication of bacterial plasmids. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 61:442-455.
17. Monaco, A.P. and Z. Larin. 1994. YACs, BACs, PACs, and MACs: artificial chromosomes as research tools. *Trends Biotechnol.* 12:280-286.
18. Muylers, J.P., Y. Zhang, and A.F. Stewart. 2001. Techniques: recombinogenic engineering—new options for cloning and manipulating DNA. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 26:325-331.
19. Novick, R.P. 1998. Contrasting lifestyles of rolling-circle phages and plasmids. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 23:434-438.
20. Rasooly, A. and R.S. Rasooly. 1997. How rolling circle plasmids control their copy number. *Trends Microbiol.* 5:440-446.
21. Roses, A.D. 2000. Pharmacogenetics and the practice of medicine. *Nature* 405:557-65.
22. Sutcliffe, J.G. 1978. pBR322 restriction map derived from the DNA sequence: accurate DNA size markers up to 4361 nucleotide pairs long. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 5:2721-2728.
23. Tao, Q. and H.B. Zhang. 1998. Cloning and stable maintenance of DNA fragments over 300 kb in *Escherichia coli* with conventional plasmid-based vectors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 26:4901-4909.
24. Wang, R.F. and S.R. Kushner. 1991. Construction of versatile low-copy-number vectors for cloning, sequencing and gene expression in *Escherichia coli*. *Gene* 100:195-199.
25. Watson, N. 1988. A new revision of the sequence of plasmid pBR322. *Gene* 70:399-403.
26. Yanisch-Perron, C., J. Vieira, and J. Messing. 1985. Improved M13 phage cloning vectors and host strains: nucleotide sequences of the M13mp18 and pUC19 vectors. *Gene* 33:103-119.

This work was supported in part by research grants from the Concern Foundation, the Schweppe Foundation, and the Brinson Foundation (T.-C.H.). We wish to thank Bert Vogelstein of Johns Hopkins Oncology Center for the provision of pSL301 and for his encouragement on the reported work. We also thank Drs. Michael A. Simon and Terrance Peabody of The University of Chicago Medical Center for their support. The full-length sequence of pMOLUC and its vector map are listed at <http://myweb.page.netscape.com/ucmolab/home.html>. Researchers who wish to obtain the pMOLUC vector may send requests to tche@surgery.bsd.uchicago.edu. Address correspondence to Dr. Tong-Chuan He, Assistant Professor and Director, Molecular Oncology Laboratory, The University of Chicago Medical Center, 5841 S. Maryland Ave., MC 3079, Chicago, IL 60637, USA. e-mail: tche@surgery.bsd.uchicago.edu

Received 7 December 2001; accepted 6 February 2002.

T. Feng^{1,2}, Z. Li³, W. Jiang¹, B. Breyer¹, L. Zhou^{1,2}, H. Cheng¹, R.C. Haydon¹, A. Ishikawa^{1,4}, M.A. Joudeh¹, and T.-C. He¹

¹The University of Chicago Medical Center
Chicago, IL, USA

²Chongqing University of Medical Sciences

³Zhongshan Hospital
Chongqing, China

⁴Yamagata University
School of Medicine
Yamagata, Japan

For reprints of this or
any other article, contact
Reprints@BioTechniques.com