Benchmarks

Modified Microassay for Serum Nitrite and Nitrate

BioTechniques 20:390-394 (March 1996)

Nitric oxide (NO) is produced in mammalian cells by one of three broad classes of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) (10). Large amounts of NO are generated by the cytokine-inducible isoform of NO synthase (iNOS) and have been implicated in cytotoxicity or cytostasis of activated macrophages toward parasites and tumors, in the pathology of septic shock, and in the suppression of cardiac function (10). Thus, assaying NO rapidly and efficiently in various fluids is of critical importance. High-output NO production by cells or its accumulation in biological solutions has been assayed in various ways (1-5, 7-9, 11). The simplest assay methods for relatively large amounts of NO in biological fluids have relied on the reaction of NO in aqueous solutions to form nitrite (NO_2^-) and nitrate (NO_3^-) (1,3,4,9,11). In urine and plasma, NO is found primarily as NO₃⁻, but NO₂⁻ can be assayed more easily by colorimetric diazotization (Griess reaction; References 1, 3, 4 and 11). Upon reduction with metallic cadmium (Cd) (3,4,11), or enzymatically by means of bacterial nitrate reductase (1,2), NO₃⁻ is converted to NO_2^- and can then be detected by the Griess reaction.

Quantitation of NO2⁻ and NO3⁻ in serum using bacterial nitrate reductase followed by the Griess reaction can be expensive and requires standardization of different batches of the enzyme (2). As currently implemented, reduction on Cd is cumbersome and time-consuming for many samples and may involve complex apparatus setup, as is the case with semi-automated nitrate analyzers (3). The method for Cd-mediated reduction of NO₃⁻ to NO₂⁻ described by Hegesh and Shiloah (4) and Shi et al. (11) is inexpensive, but requires packing of toxic Cd shavings into columns, thus necessitating sample volumes greater than 100 µL. This report describes a modification of these protocols that is suitable for rapid, quantitative and inexpensive determination of serum NO2⁻ and NO3⁻ in samples containing as little as 10 µL of serum. Furthermore, toxicity is reduced since granulated Cd instead of Cd shavings is used.

Normal rat serum was obtained from Life Technologies (Gaithersburg, MD, USA). Alternatively, C57BL/6J x SV129 mice (6) were sacrificed and blood obtained by cardiac puncture. Following a 30-min incubation on ice, the blood samples were centrifuged and the supernatant (serum) was removed for further processing. To reduce NO3⁻ to NO₂⁻, Cd filings (0.4–0.7 g/filing; Fluka Chemical, Ronkonkoma, NY, USA), one per sample to be assayed, were placed into 1.5-mL Eppendorf® microcentrifuge tubes (Brinkmann Instruments, Westbury, NY, USA). The filings were washed as follows: water (2×1 mL); 0.1 M HCl (2×1 mL); 0.1 M ammonium hydroxide, pH 9.6 (2×1 mL). Between washes, the samples were vortex mixed and rotated endover-end for 10 min, and each Cd filing was distributed to a separate microcentrifuge tube. Each serum sample

(10–50 μ L) was brought up to 200 μ L with water, and then 10 µL of 30% (wt/vol) ZnSO₄ solution were added. The samples were vortex mixed, incubated at room temperature for 15 min and centrifuged for 5 min. The resulting supernatants were added to the Cdcontaining microcentrifuge tubes and incubated at room temperature for overnight, rotating end-over-end. The samples were transferred to fresh microcentrifuge tubes and centrifuged again. 'The supernatants were subsequently assayed for $NO_2^- + NO_3^-$ content. Nitrite was measured by the Griess assay (3,4,11,12). Briefly, 100 µL Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide/0.1% naphthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride/2.5% H₃PO₄; Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO, USA) were added to 100 µL of each of the above supernatants. The plates were read using a VmaxTM microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) at 550 nm against a standard curve of NaNO₂. The values were corrected for



Figure 1. Recovery of NO₂⁻ after deproteination of serum. 190 μ L of the indicated concentrations of NaNO₂ in water (0, 1.56, 3.13, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 μ M) were incubated with 10 μ L of normal rabbit serum and then deproteinated (filled circles, solid line). Control samples contained the same concentrations of NaNO₂ and ZnSO₄, but did not contain serum and were not deproteinated (open squares, dashed line). After centrifugation of all the samples, the supernatants were assayed for NO₂⁻ by the Griess assay. Each point represents the mean ± standard error of three separate experiments. Lines represent a linear regression analysis of recovered NO₂⁻ vs. input NO₂⁻ either with or without added serum.

Benchmarks

the $NO_2^- + NO_3^-$ content of water, and the recovery of NO_2^- was calculated.

Previous reports have stated that NO in serum and urine is present predominantly as NO_3^- , not as NO_2^- (3). In accordance with this observation, no NO₂⁻ was detected in normal rat serum samples prior to reduction by Cd (data not shown). The next parameter tested was whether addition of serum and deproteination affected the recovery of NO₂⁻ added exogenously to serum. Standard concentrations of NO₂⁻ $(1-100 \,\mu\text{M})$ were assayed after the addition of water alone or after serum and ZnSO₄, the deproteinating agent. Detection of NO2⁻ without serum and deproteination occurred with an efficiency of 113%, as calculated from the slope of a regression line of input NO2⁻ vs. recovered NO2⁻ over a concentration range of 1–100 μ M NO₂⁻ (*n* = 21), while the detection of NO2⁻ following addition of serum and deproteination occurred with an efficiency of 105% (n = 21) over the same range of NO₂⁻ concentrations (Figure 1). The conversion of NO₃⁻ to NO₂⁻ mediated by Cd occurred with an efficiency of 104% (mean \pm SEM; n = 16), taken across all concentrations tested (3-100 uM: Figure 2). This occurred regardless of the exact weight of the Cd filings used (0.4–0.7 g; data not shown). Thus, the detection of NO2⁻ occurred with approximately the same efficiency whether NO2⁻ was added to serum or whether NO_3^{-} was added and then reduced to NO_2^- by Cd. Using the method described herein, I determined the concentration of $NO_2^- + NO_3^-$ in C57BL/6J x SV129 mouse serum to be $51 \pm 10 \,\mu\text{M}$ (n = 30). When C57BL/6J x SV129 mouse serum was assayed by a previously described fluorometric method (9; courtesy of Dr. Jane Connor, Searle-Monsanto, St. Louis, MO, USA), the results were essentially identical (49 \pm 7 μ M [n = 5]). Syngeneic mice lacking the gene for transforming growth factor- β 1, which profoundly suppresses iNOS expression in vitro (12), had fourfold elevated levels of serum $NO_2^- + NO_3^-$. This increase in systemic NO production could be inhibited by NG-monomethyl-L-arginine,



Figure 2. Conversion of NO₃⁻ to NO₂⁻. 200 μ L of indicated concentrations of NaNO₃ in water (0, 1.56, 3.13, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 μ M) were subjected to reduction by Cd. After centrifugation, supernatants were assayed for NO₂⁻ by the Griess assay. Each point represents mean ± standard error of three separate experiments. Lines represent a linear regression analysis of recovered NO₂⁻ vs. input NO₃⁻.

Benchmarks

an inhibitor of NOS activity (13).

This modification of the Cd-mediated reduction of NO3⁻ to NO2⁻ is accurate, reproducible and also rapid, as many samples can be assayed simultaneously. It does not require sophisticated apparatus or relatively large sample volumes. Finally, since granulated Cd instead of fine Cd shavings are used. there is a lower likelihood of inhaling small particles of Cd and thus a potentially lower toxicity to the researcher. As the study of NO and its physiologic and pathophysiologic effects develops, a quick, easy and inexpensive assay for serum NO levels is needed. The assay described herein should facilitate these studies.

REFERENCES

- 1.Bartholomew, B. 1984. A rapid method for the assay of nitrate in urine using the nitrate reductase enzyme of *Escherichia coli*. Food Chem. Toxic. 22:541-543.
- Granger, D.L., J.B. Hibbs, Jr. and L.M. Broadnax. 1991. Urinary nitrate excretion in relation to murine macrophage activation: influence of dietary L-arginine and oral N^αmonomethyl-L-arginine. J. Immunol. 146: 1294-1302.
- 3.Green, L.C., D.A. Wagner, J. Glogowski, P.L. Skipper, J.S. Wishnok and S.R. Tannenbaum. 1982. Analysis of nitrate, nitrite, and [¹⁵N]nitrate in biological fluids. Anal. Biochem. 126:131-138.
- 4.**Hegesh, E. and J. Shiloah.** 1982. Blood nitrates and infantile methemoglobinemia. Clin. Chim. Acta *125*:107-115.
- Hibbs, J.B., Jr., R.R. Taintor, Z. Vavrin and E.M. Rachlin. 1988. Nitric oxide: a cytotoxic activated macrophage effector molecule. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 157:87-94.
- 6.Kulkarni, A.Ê., C. Huh, D. Becker, A. Geiser, M. Lyght, K.C. Flanders, A.B. Roberts, M.B. Sporn, J.M. Ward and S. Karlsson. 1993. Transforming growth factor β 1 null mutation in mice causes excessive inflammatory response and early death. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90:770-774.
- 7.Lancaster, J.R., Jr. and J.B. Hibbs, Jr. 1990. EPR demonstration of iron-nitrosyl complex formation by cytotoxic activated macrophages. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87:1223-1227.
- 8.**Malinski, T. and Z. Taha.** 1992. Nitric oxide release from a single cell measured in situ by a porphyrinic-based microsensor. Nature *358*:676-678.
- 9. Misko, T.P., R.J. Schilling, D. Salvemini, W.M. Moore and M.G. Currie. 1993. A fluorimetric assay for the measurement of nitrite in biological samples. Anal. Biochem. 214:11-16.
- 10.Nathan, C. 1992. Nitric oxide as a secretory product of mammalian cells. FASEB J. 6:3051-3064.
- 11.Shi, Y., H. Li, C. Shen, J. Wang, S. Qin, R.

Liu and J. Pan. 1993. Plasma nitric oxide levels in newborn infants with sepsis. J. Pediatr. 123:435-438.

- 12.Vodovotz, Y., C. Bogdan, J. Paik, Q. Xie and C. Nathan. 1993. Mechanisms of suppression of macrophage nitric oxide release by transforming growth factor-β. J. Exp. Med. 178:605-613.
- 13.Vodovotz, Y., A.G. Geiser, L. Chesler, J.J. Letterio, A. Campbell, M.S. Lucia, M.B. Sporn and A.B. Roberts. Spontaneously increased production of nitric oxide and aberrant expression of the inducible nitric oxide synthase *in vivo* in the transforming growth factor-β1 null mouse. J. Exp. Med. (In press).

Address correspondence to Yoram Vodovotz, Laboratory of Chemoprevention, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA. Internet: vodovoty@dce41. nci.nih.gov

Received 24 July 1995; accepted 13 October 1995.

Yoram Vodovotz

National Cancer Institute Bethesda, MD, USA

Recovering DNA from Agarose Gels with Pumice

BioTechniques 20:394-398 (March 1996)

A recent publication (2) and discussions in the Internet newsgroup bionet.molbio.methds-reagnts indicate that the use of glass slurry is still a popular method for purifying DNA from agarose gels. Many of the commercially available glass slurry preparations are based on the description of Vogelstein and Gillespie (4). In this communication, we present a modification of the Vogelstein and Gillespie technique that involves the use of pumice (5), instead of glass, as the DNA-binding agent. We have found the pumice preparation to be an inexpensive substitute for commercially available glass slurries in our instructional laboratories where students use large quantities in their learning experiences. Commercial preparations cost approximately \$0.90 to \$1.00 per extraction, compared to about \$0.04 per extraction for the pumice method.

Two different pumice sources were used with equal success. One source was Baker and Adamson pumice powder (code 2157; Lot No. E175; New York, NY, USA) and the other was Matheson, Coleman, Bell pumice stone, powdered (PX1980 L655; Norwood, OH, USA). A fine pumice suspension was prepared by combining 10 g pumice powder with 100 mL H₂O in a 250-mL beaker and mixing with a magnetic stirrer till homogenous. The suspension was allowed to sit for 30 min to allow larger particles to sediment under unit gravity. The supernatant was carefully decanted to another beaker and allowed to sit for an additional 30 min. The resulting supernatant, containing the fine (suspended) pumice particles, was decanted into two 50-mL polypropylene centrifuge tubes and centrifuged for 5 min at 9000 rpm in an IEC Model B-20 centrifuge (Needham, MA, USA). The supernatant was removed with a pipet and discarded. The sedimented pumice was resuspended in 500 µL H₂O, transferred to a 1.5-mL graduated microcentrifuge tube and centrifuged for 5 min at 14 000 rpm in an Eppendorf® Model